## All hail the new sovereign

## TEFLONRABBIT ARTICLE No.196

Unique Identifier:e7b229ac-8e76-4b57-8cad-cb7dff16d33d

In the state of th

Questioning your purpose in life? Aiming to be all you can be?

Tuesday, October 4, 2022 - 12:23

## **PDF Version**

Off

Aroma
mage not found or type unknown
40
Rumness
mage not found or type unknown
X
70
Subscription Only

Whether it's walking barefoot in the Hindu kush mountains or sealing yourself in a sarcophagus for several days, humanity has eternally sought a greater understanding of the esoteric. Establishing an understanding of our reality is (for some) an ongoing adventure of self discovery. But it seems unlikely that all journeys of self discovery would be exclusively populated with beautiful tourist attractions.

<u>Britain Monarchy Politics Leaders Queen Elizabeth 2nd King Charles 3rd Liz Truss Conservative Party Prime Minister Operation London Bridge Currency Dollar Sterling Bespoke Services</u>

The infamous and acclaimed anthropologist Mr Lloyd Anthony Pye Jr. was well known for his uncompromising assaults on the archaeological mainstream. In addition some of his insight into the human condition offer a fresh interpretation of some long standing concepts. Most relevant for the theme of this fact-totem, is his position on societal authoritarianism:

I'm pretty damn sure that I wasn't put on this earth to take orders from assholes

Many would agree with this general sentiment, then promptly go check the responses on their recent photo upload of what they had for lunch yesterday. However the acceptance of this vernacular directive is not within the remit of this text. Instead what we are concerned with is the quantum correlation associated with the action of giving the orders. In the same way that <a href="Schrödinger's cat">Schrödinger's cat</a> is both alive and dead simultaneously (in some incomprehensibly abstract manner) the state of the entity delivering the orders is unknown until the point of delivery or possibly the nucleus of their intent.



For instance, if an individual asserts that they are not here to take orders from assholes then it will only be a matter of time before some asshole shows up and tries to give them orders. This is a universal constant and there has never been anything more predictable than the eventual emergence of assholes in any given situation or scenario. From the dawn of time they have always been and always will be across every facet of life on planet Earth. People, corporations, governments and even animals have always had the potential to be assholes and some of them do it with frightening alacrity. It is an unavoidable consequence of sentient life combined with coping mechanisms such as democracy and consumerism:

I think, therefore I am = I think I can tell you what to do

The act of giving the orders is represented by  $b=4???n=0\sin((2n+1)?xL)2n+1$  for 0 not being an asshole and vice versa (b=1). Therefore until the mental decision is made and the intent to deliver orders is enacted, there is no asshole [b?0]. However as soon as the intent to deliver orders is formed as a psychological reality, those seeking to deliver orders are in a non recoverable process which has a high chance of confirming that they are in fact now *being an asshole* (b=>1). What seems to be missing is the understanding that there are indeed many people who attach great value to the philosophy of Mr Lloyd Pye. In fact it could be argued that somewhere deep beneath their herd mentality exterior, **most people** do not want to be given orders by someone who they perceive to be behaving *like a total asshole* (b?1).



The rejection of authority is generally based on qualification. If someone is definitely being an eternal and insufferable as shole, b=E[mt+1Rt+1|Ft] then they're probably not qualified to be giving orders in the first place. In the same way that it does not seem naturally correct to take advice on purchasing haircare products from a bald person such as Mr Lloyd Pye  $(b=o^{hair})$ . Logically speaking - what the hell could they possibly know about it? This manifests itself in many complex ways depending on societal conditioning. Centuries ago, the more rotund a physique - the more healthy the perception of the individual. Today most people reject the advice of those they consider overweight because fashions have changed. It does not appear logical that these type of people would be any more or less qualified in giving advice now than they were several centuries ago. During the era of The Pre-Raphaelite Brotherhood, a contemporary size 10 dress size would be considered painfully underweight (b00ty<?[i2=?j2=?k2=?ijk]). Any shamelessly libidinous 19th century bachelor would be less likely to importune such a skinny wench than he would eat a dog or openly discuss his emotions in a group setting.



Recently the United Kingdom has experienced the ceremonies associated with the death of a monarch and the succession of an heir. In among all the 'pomp and circumstance' there was a definite hint of some underhand dealings by those seeking to gain advantage. The <a href="Machiavellian">Machiavellian</a> actions of the Kings younger sibling read like something from a period drama. Openly challenging the coronation in favour of the next in line is just not croquet [b?1]. Political moves by a pretender to the role of 'Prince Regent' is like something straight out of <a href="Three Musketeers">Three Musketeers</a> or Regency <a href="Blackadder">Blackadder</a>. Nonetheless these aristocratic power plays were rolled out against the backdrop of <a href="Operation London Bridge">Operation London Bridge</a>. The anticipated flurry of bureaucracy affirming processes which had been carefully planned for several decades. As such, the entire event passed almost unnoticed on the World stage. The seeds however, have been sewn. YouTube recommendations waxed with patriotic funeral highlights and waned with a swathe of recycled Diana conspiracies. If the Palace emerges from the media cacophony as a more edgy political entity, is that good for the country, or indeed the value of (b)?

Britain stands on the precipice of financial ruin. Never before has the glorious pound sterling (£1=\$1.09) been so rapaciously threatened by the crude beans and shells, the economic fruit machine tokens hastily minted in the upstart <u>rogue colony of Virginia</u>. Consequently the country is in dire need of galvanised leadership with which to fend off the battering rams and siege engines of impending economic peril. (b=1xholyshit)



While nobody could accuse our current political figurehead of being overly bombastic, she does inherit a prominent position at a time when the nation is arguably in a questionable state. There's also the issue of representing a somewhat disconnected mindset when it comes to answering the question  $(b?=I^{wtf})$ . This issue has been afflicting professional politicians for some time. Ultimately we have an increasingly image conscious voter base deciding who should and should not even stand for local election, never mind *run the country*. It's become increasingly clear that what the country really needs from the Truss administration is an upgrade to in terms of both *'chillaxing'* and *'getting real, yo'*. Everything else about the political package appears to have enormous potential, if only she could stop being quite such a catalyst for the awkward. Consequently there are questions being raised as to what exactly should be done to empower the prime minister with the required gravitas and persona required to effectively engage with the exceedingly fickle voter base (?ei??.=b)

There is a clear need to facilitate the effective turnaround of the British presence on the world stage.

The country, the people, the spirit of Elizabeth II, Victoria and even Britannia herself demand it.

But there are many barriers which obviously require bespoke services in order to overcome them. Fashions and trends do change - unlike the eternal asshole paradigm mentioned previously. Perhaps somewhere in the distant future of the human condition there is a glimmering utopia. A societal pinnacle where we have evolved beyond our base desires for power and material wealth. When we can look back at our type zero society with whimsical nostalgia and smirk at the memory of all those people going around *being assholes* 

## (None of the images shown represent any value other than b?0)

\*Update\*

Since the Conservative party moved into a Kansas City cabinet re-shuffle methodology, Liz Truss has been usurped by Rishi Sunak. However uncomfortable Liz Truss was dealing with the vulgarities of speech, she was still a more compelling candidate than Cardinal Rishi. Given the current PM's green card brandishing US residency status, the UK will probably be giving up sovereign status completely and officially declaring itself as the 51st State.

It's all over people, run for the hills.